Questions:

1. The RFP and the Addendum question #10 mentions a Go live date of June 7th (60 days?) – please explain how this date was arrived at?
   a. The target Go Live date is an approximation and could be adjusted, as necessary. This date was a “best guess” on the estimated time to transfer data and implement the software based on our existing data.

2. Is the migration of data from VUEWorks to the new system a mandatory task?
   a. The migration of data is preferable. However, if during implementation it is determined that archiving historical data rather than importing is a more practical solution, then the Town will be open to that option.

3. The RFP mentions migrating existing assets into the new CMMS. Is all asset data (except work order data in VUEWorks) currently in your GIS?
   a. Yes, what we are looking to migrate is in GIS.

4. Is the Town currently using a Paver based product for PCI scoring & tracking? Would this be a required integration?
   a. No, the Town is not currently using a Paver based product and it is not a requirement.

5. Are there any other integrations desired?
   a. Right now, the Town is only interested in the integrations outlined in the RFP

6. Could a 2 week extension to the due date be granted?
   a. The Town does not wish to extend the RFP deadline at this time.